

REMARKS

Claims 33, 36, 40, 52, 55 and 59 have been amended. Claims 74 and 76 have been added to include features canceled respectively from claims 33 and 52, and claims 75 and 77 have been added to specifically recite the use of a numeric value presentation of comparison data as opposed to icons (for support, see page 17, line 20). The application now includes claims 33-77.

Independent claims 33 and 52 require comparative data to be displayed on a computer representation of a physical environment. Providing performance comparisons of predicted and measured data, two different sets of actual data (e.g., data taken at different times), and two different sets of predicted data, is specifically discussed in the application and shown in the drawings as originally filed. See, for example, items 340 and 350 in Figure 12; items 240 and 250 in Figure 11; and items 150 and 160 in Figure 10. None of the prior art of record shows or suggests the combination of a computerized model, selectable components with associated performance attributes, and displaying on the computer representation locations of the selected specific components and performance information at one or more locations, where the performance information is in the form of a comparison of actual performance measurements to predicted performance values, a comparison of actual performance measurements taken during two or more measurement runs, or a comparison of at least two different predictions made with at least two different simulations, or any combination thereof.

Claims 40 and 49 have been amended to overcome the objections referenced by the Examiner. In particular, the spelling and grammatical errors noted have been corrected.

The specification has been amended to correctly identify the co-pending applications by serial number and patent numbers, as well as to correct typographical errors in the serial numbers originally presented. The specification has been amended to correct the spelling errors identified by the Examiner. With

respect to the drawings and specification, the amendment amends the specification to include reference numerals for that which is shown in each drawing as originally filed. A proposed drawing correction accompanies this paper which presents the indicia (upon approval, substitute formal drawings will be filed in the application). No new matter is entered by this amendment.

Applicants note that all essential subject matter required to support the claimed invention, as now amended, was fully described in the application and drawings as originally filed. As discussed above, see for example, items 340 and 350 in Figure 12; items 240 and 250 in Figure 11; and items 150 and 160 in Figure 10. No modifications have been made to the specification to incorporate materials from any co-pending application.


The amendment to claims 33 and 52 overcome the rejections lodged under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph, as the section of the claims referenced by the Examiner has been canceled in its entirety, without prejudice, so as to accelerate prosecution of this case.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the application be reconsidered, that claims 33-77 be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue.

Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

A provisional petition is hereby made for any extension of time necessary for the continued pendency during the life of this application. Please charge any fees for such provisional petition and any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-2041.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael E. Whitham
Reg. No. 32,635

Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, P.C.
11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340
Reston, VA 20190

Tel. (703) 787-9400
Fax. (703) 787-7557

Customer No.: 30743